

4.12 MINERAL RESOURCES

Would the Project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4.12.1 Environmental Setting

The California Geological Survey classifies lands into Aggregate and Mineral Resource Zones (MRZs) based on guidelines adopted by the California State Mining and Geology Board, as mandated by the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1974. These MRZs identify whether known or inferred significant mineral resources are present in an area. Local government is required to incorporate identified MRZs resource areas delineated by the state, into their general plans. Accordingly, the General Plan does not identify any MRZs within the City. In addition, the City has not identified mineral resources of value, and the City has not been delineated as a locally important mineral recovery site.

4.12.2 Previous Environmental Analysis

City of Daly City General Plan EIR Summary

The City does not contain any mineral resources within its limits; therefore, there are no mitigation measures from the General Plan EIR that would apply to the proposed project.

Plan Bay Area EIR Summary

The Plan Bay Area EIR determined that land use and transportation projects could result in development that would preclude the future extraction of mineral resources. However, projected land use growth was designed to be consistent with local planning documents, which are required to consider MRZs. In addition, most projects would occur within urban areas where extraction of mineral resources is unlikely. Accordingly, the impact would be less than significant, and no mitigation measures were identified.

4.12.3 Project-Specific Analysis

Impact MIN-1 Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

Impact Analysis

The General Plan does not identify any MRZs within the City. There is no history of mineral resource extraction in the area, nor is such use planned for the future. Therefore, the proposed project would have no impact on loss of availability of a known mineral resource.

Level of Significance Before Mitigation

No Impact.

Mitigation Measures

No mitigation is necessary.

Level of Significance After Mitigation

No Impact.

Impact MIN-2 Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?

Impact Analysis

No mineral resources of value to the region and the residents of the state have been identified within the City. The City has not been delineated as a locally important mineral recovery site. As a result, the proposed project would have no impact on loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource.

Level of Significance Before Mitigation

No Impact.

Mitigation Measures

No mitigation is necessary.

Level of Significance After Mitigation

No Impact.